

# Development of bioadhesive electrospun membranes for oral mucosal drug delivery



Santocildes-Romero M.E.<sup>1</sup>; Murdoch C.<sup>1</sup>; Thornhill M.H.<sup>1</sup>; Hadley L.<sup>1</sup>; Colley H.E.<sup>1</sup>; Hansen J.<sup>2</sup>; Hatton P.V.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; <sup>2</sup> Dermtreat ApS, Virum, Denmark

## Introduction

A major problem in the treatment of oral mucosal diseases such as oral lichen planus is the delivery of appropriate amounts of drug to the affected region. Frequently, drugs are applied in the form of mouthwashes or ointments, resulting in variable dosage and potential undesired side effects. Additionally, mouth movements and the production of saliva will tend to remove the drug and impair treatment efficiency. Thus, there is a need to develop systems capable of delivering controlled amounts of drug locally, and which can stay on a specific site for prolonged periods of time. The aim of this study was to develop bioadhesive membranes for oral mucosal drug delivery using water-soluble polymers and the solution-electrospinning technique.

## **Materials and methods**

1) The electrospinning equipment was composed of a KDS200 syringe pump and an Alpha IV Brandenburg power source. Plastic syringes (1 ml) were used to drive the polymeric solutions into metallic 15-gauge blunt needles.



Compositions	PVP (Wt%)	AMC (Wt%)	Dex (Wt%)	PEO (Wt%)	Voltage (kV)	Flow rate (ml h <sup>-1</sup> )	Distance (cm)
PVP	(2.5 – 25)	-	-	-	15	(1-5)	(19 – 23)
PVP/AMC	10	(2.5 – 15)	-	-	(15 – 17)	2.5	19
PVP/AMC/Dex	10	10	(5 – 50)	-	17	2.5	19
PVP/AMC/PEO	10	10	-	(5 - 50)	17	2.5	19

Table 1. Polymeric compositions studied, and electrospinning conditions applied.



**Figure 1.** (A) Electrospinning equipment at the School of Clinical Dentistry of the University of Sheffield. (B) Formation of the Taylor cone on drop of polymer at the tip of a metallic needle after the application of a high voltage electrical current. (C) Mat formed on a collector plate by the deposition of electrospun fibres.

Solutions of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, Mw 2 x 10 <sup>6</sup> g mol <sup>-1</sup> ) and ammonio	(
nethacrylate copolymer Type B (AMC, Mw $32 \times 10^3$ g mol <sup>-1</sup> ) were prepared	
n 97% ethanol in order to fabricate the drug-delivering layer. Particles of	4
lextrans (Dex, Mw 2 x $10^6$ g mol <sup>-1</sup> ) and poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO, 2 x $10^6$ g	(
nol <sup>-1</sup> ) were added to the solutions in order to enhance the bioadhesive	
properties of the electrospun membranes.	(

#### **Results and discussion**

## **Electrospinning of PVP membranes**

Electrospun PVP membranes were successfully produced using solutions Electrospun with concentrations between 5 wt% and 15 wt%, as shown in Table 2. dual-layer sy

**Table 2.** Compositions of PVP solutions used and outcomes obtained after electrospinning.

	PVP (Wt%)	Flow rate (ml h <sup>-1</sup> )	Distance (cm)	Outcomes
	2.5	(1-5)	19	No
	5	5	19	Yes





**3)** A hydrophobic backing layer was prepared by electrospinning 10 wt% polycaprolactone (PCL, Mw 8 x  $10^4$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>) on top of the drug delivery membrane. PCL was dissolved in dichloromethane and dimethylformamide (90%/10% vol/vol) and was processed using a current of 17 kV, a flow rate of 2.5 ml h<sup>-1</sup>, and a distance of 21 cm. A thermal treatment (70°C, 15 min) was applied to the samples in order to improve attachment between the layers.

4) The morphology of the electrospun fibres was studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Wetting properties were investigated *in vitro* using optical tensiometry, and by exposing samples of the membranes to distilled water (0.1 ml) in order to measure dissolution time and shrinkage.

## Hydrophobic PCL backing layer

Electrospun PCL was produced on top of the drug-delivery layer, creating a dual-layer system. The thermal treatment resulted in a significant reduction of porosity of the backing layer and an enhanced attachment between layers due to the melting of PCL.



5	5	19	Yes
0	5	19	Yes
.5	5	19	Yes
5	5	19	Yes
0	2.5	23	Partially
5	1	23	Partially

**Figure 2.** (A and B) SEM micrographs of electrospun PVP, showing fibres of cylindrical morphology and a significant degree of porosity.

## **Electrospinning of PVP/AMC membranes**

Electrospun PVP/AMC membranes were successfully produced, with clear effects on material properties as the proportion of AMC increased.

Table 3. Compositions of PVP and AMC solutions used and outcomes obtained after electropinning.

PVP (Wt%)	AMC (Wt%)	Voltage (kV)	Outcomes
	2.5	15	All compositions were processed
10	5	15	successfully. Electrospun fibres became
	10	17	in the composition, increasing fibre
	15	17	compactness and decreasing porosity.



**Figure 3.** SEM micrographs of electrospun 10 wt% PVP and (A) 2.5 wt% AMC, (B) 5 wt% AMC, (C) 10 wt % AMC, and (D) 15 wt% AMC.

**Figure 5.** SEM micrographs of electrospun PVP/AMC and PCL layers (A and B) before the thermal treatment, and (C and D) after the thermal treatment.

## Wetting properties

Exposure of PVP/AMC membranes to water showed that the increase of AMC in the composition resulted in decreased material solubility.

Table 5. Shrinkage and dissolution of samples exposed to distilled water .

PVP (Wt%)	AMC (Wt%)	Shrinkage (%)	Dissolution
	0	-	Complete (<5 s)
	2.5	73.69	Partial (~30 s)
10	5	66.64	Not complete (>30 s)
	10	0.44	Not complete (>30 s)
	15	1.01	Not complete (>30 s)



**Figure 6.** SEM micrographs of PVP with (A) 5 wt% AMC, (B) 10 wt% AMC, and (C) 15 wt% AMC after exposure to distilled water.

#### Addition of bioadhesive substances to the fibres

**Table 4.** Solutions containing bioadhesive substances and outcomes obtained after electrospinning.

	Content of bioadhesive substance in solution (Wt%)					
	5	10	20	30	40	50
Dex	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially	No
PEO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially	No	No



**Figure 4.** SEM micrographs of (A) and (B) electrospun PVP/AMC with dextran particles, and (C) and (D) electrospun PVP/AMC with poly(ethylene oxide) particles.

## **Optical tensiometry**



**Figure 7.** Optical tensiometry analysis of electrospun materials used in this study.

Results showed that the addition of AMC to PVP resulted in a significant increase of materials hydrophobicity. Further addition of Dex/PEO slightly increased hydrophilicity. Interestingly, PCL that has been thermally treated was more hydrophilic than untreated PCL, which may be due to the loss of micro- and nano-topography.

**In conclusion,** we successfully produced electrospun membranes made with bioadhesive materials with great potential for mucosal drug delivery applications. The dissolution rate of the membranes, as well as the drug release profiles, may be tailored by selecting appropriate compositions.